

8/28

David Moller

415 973 4696

- 3 children
  - all jobs with benefits
  - 2 at home
- 3 months with no kids in house in last 31 years
- Very good news
- Big Picture & Specifics
- B.P.
  - Get back together
  - Share O.O.B. Concept
  - Due diligence
    - Regulatory
    - Cost
    - Rough out a term sheet (non binding)
  - In order - need a statement of intent to support P&E during action on regulatory end
  - Need a formal agreement based on conditions found

MDT

- Countries

- Simon

- Merdo

- PVP



They would know who

Triche

Humboldt


⇒ He likes this

- Regional Issue

- In end, not P6YE

An Appropriate video

Govt - importance from SCWA of thinking  
about this regionally




• He's good with talking to PVP  
Carmie Brown

• James Gore → would he recommend adding  
more people? I think so...

James

→ Not want WA going alone

- @00# gonilla



## David

- Concern/Risk
  - It could get out
- Prudent to develop joint stand by statement ready to go
- They will put a statement together
  - Send to Adam Brand

## Met with Huffman

- Advised him we had met
- Time to share with Congressman
- Want share our conversations

- Risk passage & flow for consumption
  - Can we find common ground
  - Forum to talk about future of project
  - ★ - Timely to share with Congressman - <sup>Sooner than</sup> later
    - next week or two / before next advice
  - Put hands into a regional entity
  - A regional elected might be a convenor instead of PGIE
- ⇒ Incubator - not convenor

Use same statement for course 2  
unit

201 Raven must be part

- have each group confirm that interests  
are met

Annotated Transcript Aug 28 meeting SCWA, PG&E

explanatory notes in blue

page 1

8/28 (2017 meeting PGE, SCWA)

David Moller

415 973 4696

(skipping personal info)

Very good news

Big Picture & specifics

B.P.

- get back together
- share O.O.B. Concept (Out of Bounds?)
- Due diligence
  - Regulatory
  - Cost
  - Rough out a term sheet (non binding)
- in order - need a statement of intent to support PG&E changing action on regulatory end
- Need a formal agreement based (on?) conditions found

page 2

MDT (Michael Thompson, SCWA Asst General Manager)

- Counties
  - o Sonoma
  - o Mendocino

o PVP

(Line drawn to indicate all three)

They would know who

Tribe

Humboldt

=> He likes this

- Regional issue
- In end, not PG&E

An Appropriate view

Grant – importance from SCWA of thinking about this regionally

====

• He's good with talking to PVP & Carre Brown

• James Gore --> would he recommend adding more people? I think so...

James

- Not want WA going alone
- 800# gorilla

====

[page 3](#)

David

- Concern/ Risk
  - it could get out
- Prudent to develop joint standby statement ready to go
- They will put a statement together
  - Send to Adam Brand

Met with Huffman

- Advised him we had met
- Time to share with Congressman

- won't share our conversations

- fish passage & flow for consumption

- can we find common ground

- forum to talk about future of project

**STAR** - timely to share with Congressman – sooner than later

- next week or two / before next adhoc

- Put hands into a regional entity

- A regional elected might be a convenor instead of PG&E

⇒ incubator not convenor

[page 4](#)

Use same statement for Carre & Janet

Eel River must be part

- have each group confidence that interests are met