

PG&E/PVP

1/29/18

Carrie                      David Moller                      Grant Davis  
Estelle                      Brian Bottari                      Pam

jointly explore prospect of regional entity - i.e. next steps

Ground Rules:

Speak freely, everyone's equal, take it word, solving each others' problems

Carrie - biggest concern is how it rolls out; PVID community meeting - options in FERC process includes decommissioning

Estelle - Humboldt Co. - most feedback from env. community

David will make recommendation to senior leadership

Carrie - trusts her colleagues from other counties; biggest fear = JPA not being implemented per document; study report now completed

1. Concepts
2. Brainstorm ideas
3. Where do we go from here

Societal benefit is as a water supply → regional control

Options

Bi-lateral agmt w/ a single party (Merced) Falls  
Auction project (De Sable/Centerville) - several bidders - most likely option  
Orphan Process (Kilark/Cow Creek) - chaos, but stops relicensing  
decommissioning path - licensing costs too onerous  
continue to own/operate - preserves other 4 options

Feb 27 mtg w/ PG&E senior leadership, 2<sup>nd</sup> mtg in March

Heard - real obstacles to moving to <sup>single</sup> regional entity and cost of project/risk

Non-power license looks pretty viable, jurisdiction transfers to someone other than FERC; no longer umbrella authority over the project; name party w/ jurisdiction in non-power license application; get FERC out of picture

none of ERRC countries generate power  
third party could qualify as RPS, but not PG&E  
\$3-4 m/yr to operate the project; economic benefit \$250-500 m/yr  
Others to operate: City of Ukiah staff? downstream hydro ops?

PG&E Role:

sunk costs to date  
cost of non-power license  
fish passage costs (modified?)  
turn project into w.s. project

Other Costs:

gaging  
dam safety

Concern: where ~~without~~ <sup>with</sup> to get 4 countries together?  
trust/relationship does not exist  
tribes? NGOs?  
how do you pay for it?

ERRC is a place to start? Next mtg on Feb 23

Grant: PG&E needs to communicate <sup>its intent</sup> to public.

David will say something at ERRC mtg that will allow ERRC members to start a discussion

## Annotated Transcript

explanatory notes in blue

SCWA notes Jan 29 2018 meeting PGE SCWA Brown Fennell

PG&E/ PVP 1/29/18

Carre (Brown, Mendocino County Supervisor)

Estelle (Fennell, Humboldt County Supervisor)

David Moller (PG&E Director of Power Generation)

Brian Bottari (PG&E Senior Public Affairs Rep, former District Rep for Cong. Mike Thompson)

Grant Davis (General Manager, SCWA)

Pam (Jeane, Asst GM, SCWA, probable note-taker)

jointly explore prospect of regional entity – i.d. next steps

Ground Rules:

    speak freely, everyone's equal, take at word, solving each others' problems

Carre: biggest concern is how it rolls out; PVID community meeting – options in FERC process includes decommissioning

Estelle: Humboldt Co. – most feedback from env. community

David will make recommendation to senior leadership

Carre: trusts her colleagues from other counties; biggest fear = JPA (Joint Powers Agreement forming Eel Russian River Commssion) not being implemented per document; study report ever completed

1. Concepts

2. brainstorm ideas
3. where do we go from here

Societal benefit is as a water supply. -> regional control

### Options

Bilateral agmt w a single party (Merced Falls)

Auction project (DeSabra/Centerville) – several bidders – most likely option

Orphan Process (Kilark / Cow Creek) ([Kilarc-Cow Creek, a 4.6 MW hydroelectric project](#)) chaos, but stops relicensing

decommissioning path – licensing costs too onerous

continue to own/operate – preserves other 4 options

Feb 27 mtg w/ PG&E senior leadership, 2<sup>nd</sup> mtg in March

Heard – real obstacles to moving to regional single entity and cost of project/ risk

Non-power license looks pretty viable, jurisdiction transfer to someone other than FERC; no longer umbrella authority over the project; name party w/ jurisdiction in non-power license application; get FERC out of picture

none of ERRC counties generate power

third party could qualify as RPS ([Renewable Portfolio Standard](#)), but not PG&E

\$3-4 M/yr to operate the project; economic benefit \$250-500 M/yr

Others to operate: City of Ukiah staff? downstream hydro ops?

#### PG&E Role:

- sunk costs to date
- cost of non-power license
- fish passage costs (modest?)
- turn project into w.s. project

#### Other Costs:

- gaging
- dam safety

Concern: wherewithal to get 4 counties together?

trust / relationship does not exist

tribes? NGOs?

how do you pay for it?

ERRC is a place to start? Next mtg on Feb 23

Grant: PG&E needs to communicate its intent to public

David will say something at ERRC mtg that will allow ERRC members to start a discussion