

PVP

11/7/17

Curtis

productive discussions

Huffman Process

Passage Options

Modeling Scenarios

Who owns in 5-10 years

Linkage to Uk Mendo

Curtis

Redgie

Steve J

~~Steve J~~

Darren M

David Mollen

Paul K

Dave M

Don

Pam

David

a little uneasy about how info gets out

Steve

engr soln to keep project intact w/ volitional fish passage

Huffman Process

desired future states

settlement is advisory, only

outline objectives, build framework

facilitation - Cal Trout/SCWA can help? Steve Johnson will talk to Jared

4-6 key topics

CT/PG&E willing to cost share on facilitator

Key Topics

Fish Passage

Recreation

Stream Flow

Diversions

PG&E Options

1. Auction
2. Bi-lateral negotiation
3. Surrender license
4. Decommissioning plan
5. monetize water supply (PG&E has no ability to create this)
↳ IPP could do this
6. Relicensing

Best option -
transfer to 3rd party
to own & operate

PG&E looked @ 2007-2015, didnt excepting above min flow;
more AF, but at wrong time of year

CVD raising helps w/ timing problem.

CVD Raise - waiting for dam safety to bless moving forward w/
study

Cal Trout would be ok w/ T&T short term w/ volitional passage
down the road

Recovery Program (Conservation Hatchery)

CVD raise, Scott Dam removal, monetize water supply, habitat enhancement
elsewhere on Ed

Look at reality to inform interim measures (10-20 years)

Lake Pillsbury recreation - will be a fight

How to move CVD raise & monetizing water supply forward
sooner rather than later?

Second mtg: Dec 7 9-12 @ Cal Trout

Annotated Transcript Nov 7 2017 meeting CalTrout, PG&E, SCWA

explanatory notes in blue

page 1

PVP

Curtis (Knight, CalTrout ED)

Redgie (CT atty)

Steve J(ohnson, CalTrout consultant)

Darren M(ierau, CT North Coast manager)

David Moller (PG&E director of power generation)

Paul K(ubicek, PG&E biologist)

Dave M(anning SCWA)

Don (Seymour SCWA)

Pam (Jeane, Asst GM SCWA)

Curtis

productive discussion

Huffman Process

Passage Options

Modeling Scenarios

Who owns in 5-10 years

Linkage to Lk Mendocino

David

a little uneasy about how info gets out

Steve

enr soln to keep project intact w/ volitional fish passage

Huffman Process

desired future states

settlement is advisory, only

outline objectives, build framework

facilitation – CalTrout/ SCWA can help? Steve Johnson will talk to Jared

4-6 key topics

CT/ PG&E willing to cost share on facilitator

Key Topics

Fish Passage

Stream Flow

Diversions

Recreation

page 2

PG&E Options

(diagonal) Best option – transfer to 3rd party to ~~own~~ & operate

1. Auction
2. Bi-lateral negotiation
3. Surrender license
4. Decommissioning plan
5. monetize water supply (PG&E has no ability to create this)
(arrow) IPP could do this (IPP = Independent Power Producer)
6. Relicensing

PG&E looked @ 2007-2015, divert everything above min flow; more AF, but at wrong time of year

CVD raising helps w/ timing problem

CVD raise – waiting for dam safety to bless moving forward w/ study

Cal Trout would be ok w/ T&H short term w/ volitional passage down the road

Recovery Program (Conservation Hatchery)

CVD raise, Scott Dam removal, monetize water supply, habitat enhancement elsewhere on Eel

Look at reality to inform interim measures (10-20 years)

Lake Pillsbury recreation – will be a fight

How to move CVD raise & monetizing water supply forward sooner rather than later?

Second mtg: Dec 7 9-12 @ CalTrout